

REMARKS

In the last Action, restriction was required between claims 1-17, 43-46, 54-55, 65 and 68-73 drawn to a semiconductor device and claims 18-42, 47-53, 56-64 and 66-67 drawn to a process for making semiconductor devices. The Examiner stated that the two inventions are patentably distinct from each other, thereby making restriction proper. Applicants were required to elect one of the two inventions for further prosecution in this application.

In response to the restriction requirement, applicants have provisionally elected the invention of Group I drawn to a semiconductor device and submit that claims 1-17, 43-46, 54-55, 65 and 68-73 are readable on the elected invention. The non-elected claims have been retained in the application pending possible withdrawal of the restriction requirement or applicants' decision to pursue the subject matter thereof in a continuing application.

In light of the foregoing, early and favorable action on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ADAMS & WILKS
Attorneys for Applicants

By: 

Bruce L. Adams
Reg. No. 25,386

50 Broadway
31st Floor
New York, NY 10004
(212) 809-3700

BEST AVAILABLE COPY